The Heavy Photon Search Experiment at Jefferson Lab

Takashi Maruyama, SLAC For the HPS Collaboration Intense Electron Beams Workshop Cornell University, June 17-19, 2015





Look for radiated A' decay to e^+e^- , $(\mu^+\mu^-)$



Very high luminosities: Intensity Frontier Physics.

P. Schuster, R. Essig et al, Intensity Frontier WS '11 summary paper.

Bump Hunt:

E(e-) [GeV]

Look for signal over background.

Bump Hunt + Vertexing:

Look for signal over background, reduce background with vertexing.

BEST: Bjorken, Essig, Schuster, Toro, Phys.Rev. D80 (2009) 075018

HPS Design Choices

A' kinematics ⇒ very forward production



• Vertexing A' decays requires detectors close to the target. Invariant mass is an essential signature, so good momentum/mass resolution is also required. Vertexing and bump hunting need tracking and a magnet.

Want $\Delta m/m \sim 1\%$ for bump huntWant $\Delta z \sim 1mm$

• **Trigger with a high rate, rad hard EM Calorimeter** Placed downstream of the magnet, it can ID e⁺ and e⁻.



Beam's Eye View

• HPS opts for large forward acceptance/moderate currents. This requires placing sensors as close as possible to the beam.

A' lifetime



Controlling Beam Backgrounds

With sensors close to the beam (just ½ mm for the first Si sensor), background control, radiation damage, and beam stability become critical.

Constraints

- * Avoid Multiple Coulomb Scattered (MCS) beam (*the* background for HPS)
- * Avoid the "sheet of flame", the beam electrons which have radiated, lost energy, and been deflected in the horizontal plane by the magnet
- * Avoid beam gas interactions.
- * Avoid errant beam motions.

Design Solutions

- * Split the detectors top-bottom to avoid the beam and the "sheet of flame"
- * Run the tracker in vacuum to eliminate beam gas interactions
- * Tightly collimate the incident beam.



Particle Production in the Target

Souce	Effect on Detector	Simulation/Estimation
Multiple Coulomb Scattering	SVT occupancy SVT radiation Ecal occupancy Ecal trigger	EGS5/Geant4
Bremsstrahlung photons $\gamma \rightarrow e+e-$ (two-step tridents) energy degraded electrons Large angle bremsstrahlung	Ecal occupancy Ecal trigger Neutrons on FPGA	EGS5/Fluka/Geant4 MadGraph
Moller scattering (δ-rays)	SVT occupancy	EGS5
Hadron production	SVT occupancy Ecal trigger	Geant4/Fluka
X-ray generation Inner shell ionization followed by x- ray transition	SVT occupancy	EGS5/Geant4 NIST x-ray database
Physics background		
Tridents e-Z \rightarrow e-Z γ^* , γ^* \rightarrow e+e-	SVT occupancy Ecal trigger	MadGraph

HPS Setup in Hall B Alcove



A magnet chicane directs the CEBAF 12 electron beam onto a W foil, producing heavy photons. They decay to e^+e^- pairs, which are measured by the Si vertex tracker inside an analyzing magnet. A PbWO₄ ECal provides a fast trigger.

https://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/hpsg/Heavy+Photon+Search+Experiment

Beam's Eye View of SVT



Spring Engineering Run

Opportunistic run: other Halls had a priority and the 12 GeV work was carried out during week-day day shift.

- Installed SVT end of February
- Commissioned Hall B beamline March-April
 - Calibrated bpms & established orbit locks
 - Set up SVT Protection Collimator
 - Checked beam position stability
- CEBAF down for two weeks after power outage
- Commissioned Trigger and Integrated SVT DAQ late April
- Explore SVT backgrounds as moved SVT closer to beam
- Production running at 1.5 mm started May 1
- Production running at 0.5 mm started May 12
- Run ended May 18th.

Layer 1 silicon sensors are just 0.5 mm above and below beam. Min. opening angle is $\theta y = 15$ mrad.







Beam Quality



Small skewness

HPS requires a very high quality beam, with very low halo.

- $\sigma_X \sim$ 300 to 500 μm To spread heat load.
- $\sigma_{Y} \sim$ 15 50 μm To help vertexting & tracking.

The beam also needs to be very stable over time. A Fast Shut-Down stops the beam in <10 ms, if halo counters register above threshold counts.



Online data quality

SLAC



Trigger rates





In good agreement with simulations.

Proposal: 1 full week of 50 nA beam on target, 30mC Achieved: ~10 mC with SVT at 1.5mm, 10 mC at 0.5 mm



Tracked Pairs at 1.5 mm



Track Matching at ECal



Pairs Vertex at the Target



Pairs Mass Distribution



Full HPS Reach



Near term Running (Yellow)

1 week with 50nA @ 1.1 GeV 1 week with 200nA @ 2.2 GeV 2 weeks with 300nA @ 4.4 GeV

Additional Running (Blue):

2 weeks with 200nA @ 2.2 GeV 2 weeks with 300nA @ 4.4 GeV 3 weeks with 450nA @ 6.6 GeV

Opportunistic run Fall 2015

Summary



- We have roughly 1/3 PAC week with Si at 0.5 mm
 - 15 mrad acceptance
- Beamline, ECal, Trigger and SVT all worked well
 - Beam background and trigger rates are consistent with simulations.
- Lots of work to do ..
 - Check Trident Yield in the data
 - ECal energy calibration
 - SVT alignment
 - Understanding the vertex tails
- But a physics result may be in reach

HPS Collaboration JLAB + SLAC + FNAL + IPNO Orsay + INFN Genova + Universities (+ New Collaborators at Glasgow and INFN Catania, Torino, Sassari, Roma)

P. Hansson Adrian, C. Field, N. Graf, M. Graham, G. Haller,
R. Herbst, J. Jaros^{*†}, T. Maruyama, J. McCormick, K. Moffeit,
T. Nelson, H. Neal, A. Odian, M. Oriunno, S. Uemura, D. Walz SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025

> A. Grillo, V. Fadeyev, O. Moreno University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

W. Cooper Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510-5011

S. Boyarinov, V. Burkert, C. Cuevas, A. Deur, H. Egiyan, L. Elouadrhiri, A. Freyberger, F.-X. Girod, S. Kaneta, V. Kubarovsky, N. Nganga, B. Raydo, Y. Sharabian, S. Stepanyar^{II}, M. Ungaro, B. Wojtsekhowski Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606

> R. Essig Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800

M. Holtrop^{II}, K. Slifer, S. K. Phillips University of New Hampshire, Department of Physics, Durham, NH 03824

R. Dupre, M. Guidal, S. Niccolai, E. Rauly, and P. Rosier Institut de Physique Nucleaire d'Orsay, IN2P3, BP 1, 91406 Orsay, France

D. Sokhan School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, Scotland, UK P. Schuster, N. Toro Perimeter Institute, Ontario, Canada N2L 2Y5

N. Dashyan, N. Gevorgyan, R. Paremuzyan, H. Voskanyan Yerevan Physics Institute, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia

> M. Khandaker Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho 83209

M. Battaglieri, R. De Vita Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova e Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universita, 16146 Genova, Italy

S. Bueltmann, L. Weinstein Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529

G. Ron Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

A. Kubarovsky University of Connecticut, Department of Physics, Storrs, CT 06269

K. Griffioen The College of William and Mary, Department of Physics, Williamsburg, VA 23185

Y. Gershtein, J. Reichert Rutgers University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Piscataway, NJ 08854 (Dated: May 6, 2013)