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General Accelerator R\&D Program

## Accelerator and Beam Physics Roadmap

DOE Accelerator Beam Physics Roadmap Workshop September 6-8, 2022





Detailed measurement of beam phase space distribution is important!

## Phase space distribution measurements



## Usual Approaches

## Simple quad scan:

- rotate beam by scanning focusing strength
- measure the beam size
- Fit and solve for $\varepsilon$
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## Specialized diagnostics:

- pepper-pot (single-shot 4D)
- Multi-slit (single-shot 2D)
- Moving slit (multiple measurements)
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- Not as detailed as we would like
- Design considerations for different beam sizes / charges
- Wastes information: only uses beamlets intensities, positions and sizes
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## Advanced tomographic methods:

- Maximum entropy tomography (MENT)
- Algebraic reconstruction (ART, SART)
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## Advanced tomographic methods:

Streak beam at various angles and record the projections on a screen. 1 Set quadrupoles to obtain desired transverse

## $\left.{ }^{\text {in }}{ }^{i}, \theta_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right)$.



- Maximum entropy tomography (MENT)
- Algebraic reconstruction (ART, SA • Very detailed



## Phase Space Fitting as optimization problem

## Simple quad scan:



Beam distribution is assumed to be elliptical.
Fully parametrized by $\sigma_{x x}, \sigma_{x p_{x}}, \sigma_{p_{x} p_{x}}$
Assume linear transport of elliptical beam


Beam sizes from screen downstream

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{x}^{2}=(1+d l k)^{2} \sigma_{11} \\
+2(1+d l k) \sigma_{12} \\
+d^{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{22}
\end{gathered}
$$

Error of the quadratic fit


Result:

- Elliptical 2D phase space consistent with beam size measurements.


## Phase Space Fitting as optimization problem



We want more detail:




- How do we parametrize the beam 6D phase-space distribution in a a flexible and learnable way?
- How do we run simulations that support optimization of extremely high dimensional problems ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{k}$ parameters)?


## Neural Network Parameterization of Beam Distributions

-6D phase space distribution parametrization that is

- flexible
- learnable


Fully connected NN with ~ O(1k) parameters

## Differentiable Simulations (Automatic Differentiation)

Keep track of derivative information during every calculation step using the chain rule and memory.

Fast and accurate highdimensional gradients

Enables gradient-based optimization of model with respect to all free parameters.

Easily optimize models with >10k free parameters.
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Keep track of derivative information during every calculation step using the chain rule and memory.

Fast and accurate highdimensional gradients
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## Synthetic Example

Synthetic beam distribution in simulation


Screen images


## Synthetic Example Reconstruction



## Detailed reconstruction of 4D

 phase space with only- a quadrupole and a screen
- ー ー - $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile ground truth
- 10 images
$----95^{\text {th }}$ percentile ground truth


## Measuring Model Uncertainty

Create a snapshot ensemble to measure uncertainty by cycling the learning rate



Huang G. et al., ICLR 2017

## Measuring Model Uncertainty

Create a snapshot ensemble to measure uncertainty by cycling the learning rate



(b)


Quadrupole:

$$
H=\frac{p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}}{2\left(1+p_{z}\right)}+\frac{k_{1}\left(p_{z}\right)}{2}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)
$$

- Weak dependence on $p_{z}$ via chromatic effects
- No dependence on $z$


## Tomography Example from AWA



## AWA Reconstruction Results



## Conclusions

- 4D detailed phase space reconstruction from few measurements and without special diagnostics
- Neural Network beam parametrization and differentiable simulations are not limited by dimensionality.
- Potentially extensible to 6D with the addition of longitudinal diagnostics.
- Can incorporate heterogeneous measurements:
- More screens, BPMs, ...


Details: PRL 130, 145001 (2023)

- Different types of data
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## Backup: Maximum Entropy Loss Function

## Loss Function

$$
l=-\log \left[(2 \pi e)^{3} \varepsilon_{6 D}\right]+\lambda \frac{1}{N I J} \sum_{\text {Initial }} \sum_{n, i, j}^{N, I, J}\left|R_{n}^{(i, j)}-Q_{n}^{(i, j)}\right|
$$

No evidence


Weak evidence


Strong evidence


## Backup: Maximum Entropy Tomography (MENT)

Rotate phase space as before, but reconstruct the distribution from 1D projections + maximize the beam distribution entropy Lagrange multiplier

$$
\rho^{*}=\arg \min \{-H(\rho)+\lambda f(\rho)\}
$$

Distribution entropy Discrepancy with measurement





## Backup: Synthetic Example Reconstruction



|  | Ground <br> Parameter | rms <br> truth | prediction | Reconstruction |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Unit |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\varepsilon_{x}$ | 2.00 | 2.47 | $2.00 \pm 0.01$ | $\mathrm{~mm}-\mathrm{mrad}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{y}$ | 11.45 | 14.10 | $10.84 \pm 0.04$ | $\mathrm{~mm}-\mathrm{mrad}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{4 \mathrm{D}}$ | 18.51 | $34.83^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $17.34 \pm 0.08$ | $\mathrm{~mm}^{2}-\mathrm{mrad}^{2}$ |

## Backup: AWA Reconstruction Results



## Backup: AWA Reconstruction



Red border denotes test samples

## Backup: Kernel Density Estimation (KDE)



## Backup: Reverse vs Forward Autodiff


$\underline{\text { https://towardsdatascience.com/forward-mode-automatic- }}$

## Backup: Memory profiling



Test 1: 10 quads separated by drifts. Peak memory vs number of particles

## Backup: Memory profiling



Test 2: 10^4 particles Peak memory vs n quads

## Backup: Memory profiling



Test 3: $10^{\wedge} 4$ particles
Peak memory vs $n$ slices in single quad+drift

