UCLA College | Physical Sciences Physics & Astronomy



A polarized positron sources based on high repetition rate FEL/IFEL cavity

P. Musumeci, on behalf of the FAST-GREENS collaboration UCLA Department of Physics and Astronomy

AAC workshop, Hauppage, Long Island, November 10th 2022

Outline

- Polarized positrons for High Energy Physics colliders
- Review of current plans for ILC positron source
- ICS-based alternative approach based on high efficiency FEL/IFEL optical cavity
- FAST-GREENS project

The need for polarized positrons in high energy physics Beam polarizations help for chirally coupled particles:

- Effective increase in luminosity with the correct helicity of both beams
- Decrease in background
- Uncover new BSM chiral physics

References:

- T. Han Snowmass Agora Dec 15th
- F. Dietrich et al. arXiv:1902.07744
- ILC Snowmass report. Chapter 5





ILC polarized positron source

- Short period undulator to generate polarized gamma-rays using full energy e-beam
- Principle based on successful polarized positrion generation at E-166
- Conversion in thin Ti-alloy target
- ILC extreme beam parameters spurred decade-long R&D on this topic
 - 230 m long 1.1 cm period undulator
 - 100 m/s 50 cm radius rotating wheel to distribute incident power
 - High field flux concentrator
 - 30 % polarized beams



ILC e+ beam parameters (nominal luminosity)

Number of positrons per bunch at IP	2×10 ¹⁰	
Number of bunches per pulse	1312	
Repetition rate	5 Hz 7	That's about a
Positrons per second at IP	1.3×10 ¹⁴	factor 100 more
- Required positron yield: $Y = 1.5e + e$	- at damping rind	

Note: Alternative conventional source in preparation based on 3 GeV e-beam on 3 X_0 W target

If source is independent, the format of positron bunch train has some freedom exploiting the damping ring to reshape the temporal structure (Omori et al.)

Some issues with current plans

- Very long, small gap superconducting undulator. Need to limit e-beam energy deposition.
- As CoM energy drops the undulator needs to get longer and the photon energy suffers (@125 GeV, 1.1 cm -> 7 MeV photons)
- Angular divergence is very small and this complicates the design of the target (1 mm spot size)
- Not-independent source: requires yield e+/e- to be larger than 1 since 125 GeV bunch is the main collider beam.





Polarized positrons in the Snowmass process

- Snowmass workshop <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/52959/</u>
- Snowmass white paper focusing on advanced accelerator technology for polarized positron sources <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.13245</u>
- Laser-based undulator. Inverse Compton Scattering-based circularly polarized gammaray source for positron production

High photon energy (1 GeV + 515 nm) -> 34 MeV Increase in yield and final polarization Larger spot size at the target can be expanded to 5 mm as angular divergence is 125 times larger than undulator $\theta \sim 1/\gamma$ Number of initial e- is independent of number of positrons. Can be much larger than collision beam.

Smaller number of circularly polarized photons per electron from ICS interaction. (Typically ~ 1 vs. undulator yield of 300 γ /e-)

Where do we get MW-class laser system for ICS collisions ?



UCLA

Quest for high FEL efficiency. Tapered helical undulators



Proposed approach: Optical energy recirculator

- Key observation: Due to small cross section, most of laser and e-beam energy remain in beams after ICS interaction.
- High power laser available to recirculate and accelerate electrons.
 - 1. Use IFEL to boost energy from SRF linac to 1 GeV
 - 2. ICS collisions to generate gamma-ray photons
 - 3. Reversing the acceleration process, laser energy is replenished + some extra to compensate for losses in cavity
- Repeat as many times as necessary (limit is optical power in the cavity)



Laser-energy recovery

- Enabled on unique properties of FEL/IFEL interaction. Efficiency, large transverse acceptance, no losses.
- Assuming 4 m of tapered helical undulator with an average K = 5.0, the minimum power required to go from 200 MeV to 1 GeV is 5 TW
- 6 J 1.2 ps bunch length. Adding 0.15 J per pass from additional TESSA deceleration
- Self-consistent 3D Genesis simulations



Laser profile IFEL and TESSA sections



Duris, J. P., P. Musumeci, and R. K. Li. "Inverse free electron laser accelerator for advanced light sources." *Physical Review Special Topics-Accelerators and Beams* 15.6 (2012): 061301.





Longitudinal phase space

ICS interaction to generate pol. Gamma rays



Ebeam energy	1 GeV
Ebeam/laser pulse duration	1.2 ps
Ebeam charge	3.2 nC
Laser wavelength	515 nm
Laser energy	6 J
Laser spot size (1/e2)	10 um
E-beam spot size (rms)	5 um
Integrated photon yield	0.8 γ/e-

Double-differential spectrum





Polarized positron generation

- Pair production cross-section Motz, Olsen, Kock, RMP 41 581 (1969)
 - Consider 0.8 rad length thick target (3 mm for tungsten)
- Polarization of positrons vs. cut-off energy
 - Michailichenko LCO2, Proceedings, SLAC-WP-21.
 - Olsen and Maximon Physical Review 114.3 (1959): 887.



Using actual photon spectrum from ICS source



Assume a capture threshold at 14 MeV 0.1 polarized e+ per incoming e-0.14 total e+ per e-Polarization 0.7 (neglecting depolarization in target) !

Polarized positron flux: some numbers

- $2 \cdot 10^{14}$ e+/s are required by ILC
- Need to start with 2.1015 e-/s or 320 μA average current
- Can be packaged/divided in different time-format depending on damping ring and optical recirculation cavity. For the sake of discussion let us consider a 3.2 nC bunches at 100 KHz repetition rate (9 MHz bursts with 0.011 duty cycle)
- Average e-beam power of SRF linac to 200 MeV -> 65 kW
- IFEL acceleration to 1 GeV (2.5 J laser energy or 40 % of 6 J circulating laser energy in cavity).
- TESSA deceleration back to 100 MeV (give back to laser 2.9 J to compensate for cavity losses up to 6.4 % per pass)
- Laser intra-cavity average power 600 kW



UCLA

Ongoing FAST-GREENS project

- UCLA RadiaBeam ANL FNAL collaboration
- Main scientific goals:
 - First experimental **measurements of spectral and transverse profile characteristics** of the radiation amplified in the TESSA regime of operation.
 - Demonstration of single pass record high energy extraction efficiency from a relativistic electron beam in the VIS region
 - Couple SRF linac with high efficiency undulator to open the path to MHz-rep rate Tapering Enhanced Oscillator multi-kW high power lasers
 - Enable high rep-rate Inverse Compton Scattering based polarized gamma-ray sources for nuclear physics/polarized positron production

Beam Energy	220 MeV
Peak current	0.6 kA
Emittance	3 um
Charge	1 nC
Energy spread	0.1 %
Undulator length	4 x 0.96 m
Radiation wavelength	515 nm
Seed power	1 GW
Interaction geometry	Helical





FAST-GREENS experimental status

UCLA





ICS-based gamma-ray production

For high flux gamma ray production via Compton the main issue is the laser rate. Hi γ s @ TUNL/Duke uses an FEL + storage ring to generate ~10^10 ph/sec

Tunability (limited by optics) scales as γ^4

@ 9 MHz train / 5 Hz -> 5.10^11 photons/sec – ps pulses

Synchronization scheme depends on implementation. A possibility is two light pulses in a 2x longer cavity

Helical geometry - > Circularly polarized gammas

Parameter	Value
E-beam energy	220 MeV
E-beam charge	1.2 nC
Laser energy	50 mJ (20% efficiency)
Laser wavelength	515 nm
Gamma ray energy	1.8 MeV
Gamma ray flux	>10^7 per shot



Conclusion and summary

- Polarized positron production open challenge for next linear collider
- ICS-based approach can benefit from high efficiency FEL technology
- FAST-GREENS program aimed at demonstrating TESSA technology at visible wavelength
 - First experiments would open up path to investigate high repetition rate TESSA, high average power cavity and gamma ray production
- Also Compton-ring + stacking laser cavity and other solutions should be considered !
- Overlap with gamma-gamma program

TESSA: FAST-GREENS collaboration

A. Fisher, E. Cropp, P. Denham, V. Guo, J. Jin (UCLA)

A. Zholents, J. Byrd, A. Lumpkin (Argonne National Laboratory)

A. Murokh, T. Hodgetts, R. Agustsson, L. Amoudry (Radiabeam Technologies)

J. Edelen, C. Hall (Radiasoft)

A. Gover (Tel Aviv University)

S. Nagaitsev, D. Broemmelsiek, A. Valishev, J. Ruan, G. Stancari (FNAL)

Funding agencies : DOE SBIR/STTR program, DOE BES (SCGSR + UCLA)

