



Mesh refinement in QuickPIC

Qianqian Su¹, Fei Li¹, Weiming An², Yujian Zhao¹, Lance Hildebrand¹,

Viktor Decyk¹, Paulo Alves¹, Ann Almgren³, Warren Mori¹

¹ University of California, Los Angeles, US
 ² Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
 ³ Lawrence Berkeley National Lab

Work at UCLA supported by DOE through a FNAL subcontract 644405, and DE-SC0010064, and by NSF through award 2108970

Work at LBNL supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research, under contract DE-AC02-05CH1123

PWFA simulation for linear collider simulations is computationally expensive both in time and memory

- Plasma wakefield acceleration (PWFA) has made great progress with the help of particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation
- Standard electromagnetic code can be computationally expensive
- QuickPIC is an efficient quasi-static PIC code that can provide more than hundreds times of speed up compared to standard PIC codes for accurate PWFA simulation



UCLA

PWFA-LC parameter for trailing bunch

- Normalized emittance in x, y direction ~ 2um, 0.005um
- Matched spot size ~ 463.9 nm, 23.2 nm (Bubble radius ~ tens of μm)

Challenges

- Scales difference of bubble radius and trailing beam
- Tightly focused trailing beam ($k_p \sigma_r \sim 10^{-3}$) causes ion motion
- To fully resolve the trailing beam and ion motion, full QuickPIC need to set more than $10 \times 10^4 \times 10^4 \times 10^4 \times 10^3$ 3D grids (tens of TB), takes more than 1,000,000,000 cpu hours

Speed-up method

- Mesh refinement (HiPACE ++, QuickPIC, QPAD)
 - Quasi-3d method (QPAD)
- GPU (HiPACE++, QuickPIC/QPAD)



- T. J. Mehrling, et. al. IEEE AAC, 2018
 - F. Li, et. al. Computer Physics Communications, 261:107784, 2021

S.Diederichs, et. al. Computer Physics Communications, 278: 108421, 2022

November 10, 2022

2

3D quasi-static PIC code QuickPIC

Quasi-static approximation (QSA)



Benefiting from QSA, the simulation time step is not limited by the numerical stability condition (CFL), which can achieve many orders of magnitude speedup compared to full explicit 3D PIC codes.



3D loop: field and plasma particles are frozen while beam is advanced.

C. Huang et. al. Journal of Computational Physics, 217(2):658–679, 2006, W. An, et. al. Journal of Computational Physics, 250:165–177, 2013.

Mesh refinement algorithmic flow in QuickPIC



All the operations done for both fine mesh and coarse mesh

 $\nabla_{\perp}^{2} \phi_{l} = q_{l}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \phi_{l} - \phi_{l} = q_{l}$ where q_{l} is the source at grid l



Interpolate field on coarse grid ϕ_l onto the boundary of ϕ_{l+1} Use FFT solver to solve ϕ_1 , multigrid algorithm to solve $\phi_{l>1}$

Option to correct coarse grid solution by iteration between meshes

Option to use square cells and rectangular box for refined mesh

Load balance is important so that solving fine-mesh field does not dominate simulation

UCLA



5

UCLA

Focusing force and emittance growth from ion motion was modeled by isolating small region with fine resolution



1 step simulation with $8192 \times 8192 \times 1024$ grids Trailing-beam-only simulation with smaller domain (red box) and higher resolution of $6.1 \times 6.1 \times 115.23$ nm.

UCLA

Fully self-consistent mesh refinement simulation shows good agreement for the focusing force



W. An, et. al., Physical Review Letters, 118:244801, 2017

Trailing beam parameter $k_p \sigma_r = 0.00595$, $k_p \sigma_z = 0.595$

3 refinement levels, each increase 4x resolution Coarse grid has 1024 × 1024 × 1024 grids

The finest grid has resolution of 6.1 × 6.1 × 115.23 nm

Need multiple refinement levels for accurate fields at the boundaries

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 \psi = -\left(\rho - J_z\right)$$

The error induced when calculating focusing force by $~~m E_\perp + e_z imes m B_\perp = -
abla_\perp \psi$

Match the Dirichlet boundary from linear interpolation, but derivative not continuous between different levels



Long range acceleration with mesh refinement shows agreement with previous results



18.8 cpu hour (on cori 4 nodes) per 3D step with highest resolution 6.1 × 6.1 × 115.23 nm

56.5 cpu hour (on cori 4 nodes) per 3D step with (6.1 × 32) × (6.1 × 32) × 115.23 nm resolution for the whole simulation box

Estimation of roughly a thousand times speed-up compared to full QuickPIC with fine resolution everywhere

Can be run on 4 cori nodes or less with 512 GB memory

UCLA

UCLA

Mesh refinement also works for asymmetric beam with rectangular fine region



Matched trailing beam parameter $k_p \sigma_x = 0.0273$, $k_p \sigma_y = 0.0014$, $k_p \sigma_z = 0.595$

Weiming An et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 2017,

Adaptive mesh grid setting for evolving beams



Summary

UCLA

- We have developed a MPI + OpenMP parallelized mesh refinement code based on QuickPIC, it enables simulations using witness beams with spot sizes several orders of magnitude small than the accelerator structure.
- The scalability of the code has been improved by using pipelining, several strategies has been used to improve the load balancing of both field solver and pipelines.
- We also developed an adaptive mesh refinement option for an evolving beam.
- Several benchmark cases have been tested and get consistent result as previously published papers and a Quasi-3d QS PIC code QPAD.
- Future work on QuickPIC and QPAD
 - Adaptive 2d step
 - Improve load balance of AMR and particle routines
 - GPU support
 - Mesh refinement in quasi-3d code

Thanks for your attention!