

2022 Center for Bright Beams Annual Symposium: Compact Light Sources

- Friday 3 Jun 2022, 08:00 \rightarrow 18:00 America/Los_Angeles
- **?** Faculty Center Morrison Room (UCLA)
- 🚹 J. Ritchie Patterson, Joan Curtiss

Paths toward Compact FELs

Zhirong Huang June 3, 2022

BOLD PEOPLE. VISIONARY SCIENCE. REAL IMPACT.







Outline

SLAC

- Introduction
- X-ray FEL basics
- Ingredients for compact XFELs
 - Compact accelerators
 - Brighter electron beams
 - Pre-bunching or beam manipulation
 - Shorter period undulators
 - X-ray cavities
- Summary

What is Free Electron Laser

John Madey—Inventor of the FEL (1971)

JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS

٠

VOLUME 42, NUMBER 5

Stimulated Emission of Bremsstrahlung in a Periodic Magnetic Field

John M. J. Madey

Physics Department, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 20 February 1970; in final form 21 August 1970)

Produced by **resonant interaction** of a relativistic electron beam with EM radiation in an undulator



- Tunable, Powerful, Coherent radiation sources
- Tunable means arbitrary wavelengths!!!



-JLA



XFELs are Extremely Bright and Ultrafast



Note: High rep. rate and average brightness XFELs are coming

Undulator Radiation



undulator parameter K = 0.94 B[Tesla] λ_u [cm]

LCLS undulator K = 3.5, $\lambda_u = 3$ cm, e-beam energy from 3 GeV to 15 GeV to cover $\lambda_1 = 30$ Å to 1.2 Å

Key question: can energy be exchanged between electrons and co-propagating radiation pulse?

Resonant Interaction of Field with Electrons



Electrons **slip** behind EM wave by λ_1 per undulator period (λ_u)



- Due to sustained interaction, some electrons lose energy, while others gain → energy modulation at λ_1
- e^- losing energy slow down, and e^- gaining energy catch up \rightarrow density modulation at λ_1 (microbunching)
- Microbunched beam radiates coherently at λ_1 , enhancing the process \rightarrow exponential growth of radiation power

FEL Micro-Bunching Along Undulator





Statistical fluctuation of Self-Amplified Spontaneous Emission (SASE)

Due to noise start-up, SASE is chaotic light with M_L coherent modes (*i.e.*, spikes in intensity profile): x = 50 m

$$M_L \approx \frac{\text{bunch length}}{\text{coherence length}} = \frac{\Delta z}{l_c}$$

 I_c coherence length

- Longitudinal phase space is M_L larger than Fourier Transform limit
- SASE energy fluctuation is...

$$\frac{\Delta W}{W} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{L}}}$$



- *M_L* is **not** constant reduced by increased coherence during exponential growth, and increased with reduced coherence after saturation
- **LCLS** near saturation (~50 fs): $M_L \approx 200 \Rightarrow \Delta W/W \approx 7 \%$

SASE FEL Electron Beam Requirements



- We must increase peak current, preserve emittance, and maintain small energy spread so that power grows exponentially with undulator distance, z, $P(z) = P_0 \cdot \exp(z/L_G)$
- FEL power reaches saturation at $\sim 18L_G$
- SASE depends *exponentially* on e^- beam quality (to be exploited)

Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) at SLAC X-FEL based on last 1-km of existing 3-km linac Proposed by C. Pellegrini in 1992 1.5-15 Å (14-4.3 GeV) Existing 1/3 Linac (1 km) (with modifications) Injector New e⁻ Transfer Line (340 m) Era of XFEL (2009) Undulator (130 m) Transport Line (200 m) **Near Experiment Hal**

LCLS: the first hard X-ray FEL



A world-wide growth spurt in XFELs



And soft X-rays:

Fermi FEL (Italy) FLASH (Germany) SXFEL (China)

Outline

SLAC

- Introduction
- X-ray FEL basics
- Ingredients for compact XFELs
 - Compact accelerators
 - Brighter electron beams
 - Pre-bunching or beam manipulation
 - Shorter period undulators
 - X-ray cavities
- Summary

Compact accelerators: Plasma-Accelerator-Based FELs



See Maria-Emmanuelle's talk later

Brighter electron beams

- A key requirement for XFEL is high-brightness e-beam.
- Typically, the relative slice e-beam energy spread is much less than the FEL parameter. In this case, the 3D gain length depends critically on transverse brightness defined as

$$B_{\perp} = \frac{I_e}{4\pi^2 \varepsilon_n^2}$$

$$L_{G} = 1.2 \left(\frac{I_{A}}{I}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{5/6} \lambda_{u}^{5/6}}{\lambda_{r}^{2/3}} \frac{(1 + K_{0}^{2}/2)^{1/3}}{K_{0}[JJ]} \propto B_{\perp}^{-1/2} \varepsilon_{n}^{-1/6}.$$

E. Saldin, Opt. Commun. 235, 415 (2004).
Z. Huang, K.-J. Kim, PRSTAB 10, 034801 (2007)

 Significant payoff to reduce transverse emittance and increase peak current (a big focus of CBB research)

UCXFEL: brighter e-beam source + high-gradient linac



200 -

HITEL 150 -

Radial 100 -

0.0

0.5

1.0

Normalized

600 J

400 ^ozis

200 Sol

2.0

17

(v. 400 nm in LCLS)

1.5

J. Rosenzweig et al., NJP 22, 2020

Lower emittance injector + ESASE for current enhancement (see Nathan's talk later)

Brighter beam impact on LCLS-II HXR performance

- Assume small emittance can be preserved in LCLS Cu-linac at 0.1 um level
- Such bright beams can expand HXR to >70 keV photons, and/or reduce the gain length sufficiently for strong undulator tapering to increase HXR power



Prebunching or beam manipulation: ASU CXFEL

- Prebunching or beam-manipulation: to prepare microbunching in the X-ray or sub-harmonic wavelengths so FEL doesn't start from noise.
- Less undulators necessary and better spectral properties.
- Classical example: HGHG or EEHG (see Luca's talk later)
- A novel example: ASU CXFEL (transverse modulation+EE+ICS)



Shorter period undulators

• Lasing at shorter wavelengths (with modest e-beam energy) requires shorter-period undulators



Calculated on-axis magnetic fields of two cryogenic permanent magnet undulators (CPMUs), two superconducting undulators (SCUs) and on in-vacuum undulator (IVU) for a vacuum gap of 4.0 mm for period length from 8 mm to 30 mm.

E. Moog, R. Dejus, and S. Sasaki, "Comparison of Achievable Magnetic Fields with Superconducting and Cryogenic Permanent Magnet Undulators – A Comprehensive Study of Computed and Measured Values", ANL/APS/LS-348, 2017.

LCLS prototype SCU



Beam side of magnet core. **Y. Ivanyushenkov et al. (APS)**



Hybrid cryo-undulator: Pr-based, SmCo sheath; λ =9 mm up to 2.2 T



F.H. O'Shea et al, PRSTAB 13, 070702 (2010)

SLAC

Cavity-based XFEL (CBXFEL)

- XFELs are based on single-pass SASE: very flexible (fs-as pulses, self-seeding, twocolor, ...), but not stable and not longitudinally coherent.
- For LCLS-II and other high-rep. rate XFELs, we want to take advantage of extremely high rate to build an X-ray optical cavity to filter and return X-ray pulses for repetitive interactions with e-beams.
- This Cavity-Based XFEL has the potential to produce highly stable, fully coherent X-ray pulses at a high repetition rate, and hence achieve
 - Higher average brightness (XFELO and XRAFEL),
 - High peak brightness (XRAFEL),
 - Ultrafine spectral capabilities (XFELO).

<u>X-ray RAFEL</u>: Z. Huang and R.D. Ruth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 144801 (2006). <u>X-ray Oscillator</u>: K.-J. Kim, Y. Shvyd'ko, and Sven Reiche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 244802 (2008).



ANL-SLAC are developing CBXFEL in a phased approach using the LCLS infrastructure.
G. Marcus et al., FEL2019 and IPAC2022

XRAFEL can be used for UCXFEL with multiple bunches

- Single-pass saturation for UCXFEL at Hard X-rays is very challenging.
- With multiple bunches (~10) and a high-gain cavity (XRAFEL), one can have a compact cavity-based XFEL that reaches saturation in 10 passes.







- Large scale XFELs have been very successful, and they will continue to be the brightest femtosecond X-ray sources in the world.
- Compact XFELs have both strong scientific needs and intellectual appeals, and technologies are at our doorsteps.
- Many FEL R&Ds are synergetic for both compact and large XFELs (beam brightness and manipulations, seeding or pre-bunching, shorter-period undulators, Cavity-Based etc...).
- No one-size-fits-all approach, let the thousand flowers bloom!

Thanks all for your attention!