



# HOM damping requirements for high-current option of FCCee

Ivan Karpov, Rama Calaga, Elena Shaposhnikova

Acknowledgments: O. Brunner, A. Butterworth, J. F. Esteban Müller, R. Rimmer, N. Schwerg, D. Teytelman

ICFA Mini Workshop on Higher Order Modes in Superconducting Cavities, 01.10.2018

### FCC-ee collider parameters

Parameters:

 $f_{\rm rf}$  = 400 MHz, *h* = 130680, *C* = 97.75 km

 4 energies defined by experimental program

| Machine      | Ζ    | W  | Ζ   | tī    |
|--------------|------|----|-----|-------|
| Energy (GeV) | 45.6 | 80 | 120 | 182.5 |

- 50 MW power loss per beam due to synchrotron radiation
- Low-energy Z machine
  - $\rightarrow$  highest current ( $\approx$  1.4 A)
  - → most challenging for high-order mode (HOM) power extraction (max power 1 kW per HOM coupler)



# HOM power loss calculations

Normalized Fourier harmonics Longitudinal rf cavity of beam current impedance  $P = J_A^2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{J}_k|^2 \operatorname{Re}[Z_{||}(kf_0)]$ 

 $J_A$  – average beam current

- $f_0$  revolution frequency
- k revolution harmonic number

Estimations of the power loss are required to determine parameters for HOM absorbers.

# Beam spectrum for different filling schemes



Spectrum is dominated by:  $1/t_{bb}$  lines (always present) +  $1/t_{tt}$  lines (depending on number of trains)

# Beam spectrum for different filling schemes



Spectrum is dominated by:  $1/t_{bb}$  lines (always present) +  $1/t_{tt}$  lines (depending on number of trains)

# rf system for FCC-ee Z machine

13 cryomodules with four 400 MHz single-cell LHC-like cavities



## Single-cell cavity impedance



→ Only one mode below cut-off frequency with parameters:  $f_r \approx 694$  MHz,  $R/Q \approx 12 \Omega$  (CST EMS simulations), quality factor Q = ? 7

### Impedance of full structure



 $\rightarrow$  There are four modes with high (R/Q) below cut-off frequency of the beam pipe between cavities.

 $\rightarrow$  Higher frequency HOMs have small (R/Q) values

## Power loss above cut-off frequency

**Constant parameters:** total current  $\leq$  1.4 A, abort gap 2 µs, bunch population 1.7e11 **Variable parameters:** number of bunches in the train, number of trains, train spacing



Power loss is moderate for the present cavity design for bunches in collisions There is a weak dependence on train spacing and bunch spacing

# Power loss for HOM below cut-off frequency



Power losses of few 100 W are for small Q + "resonant" cases with high Q  $\rightarrow$  Damping of the mode for longitudinal stability should be moderate  $\rightarrow$  Resonant cases should be identified 10

### Shift of the resonant frequency



Resonant case when beam spectral line overlaps with HOM\*  $\left|1 - \frac{[f_r t_{tt}]}{f_r t_{tt}}\right| < \frac{1}{o}$ 

- $\rightarrow$  There are many resonant cases
- $\rightarrow$  Not all of them are dangerous

\*I.Karpov et al., Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 21, 071001 (2018)

# Power losses for different filling schemes

Overlap with spectral lines defined by bunch spacing



 $\rightarrow$  Some train spacings should be avoided in operation

 $\rightarrow$  Strong power losses for 10 ns and 17.5 ns bunch spacings

### More "general" case



 $\rightarrow$  Operation settings define recommendations for the cavity design (position of HOMs)

# Summary

- Power losses in FCCee single-cell rf cavities were evaluated
- Contribution from continuous impedance spectrum is around 3 kW for bunches in collisions.
- Below cutoff frequency there is one HOM with high R/Q (it can split into 4 modes for the full structure) which can significantly contribute to power losses
- Critical filling schemes were identified and should be avoided in operation for the given cavity design:
  - 10 ns and 17.5 ns bunch spacings are not feasible
  - Other bunch spacings can be used with particular filling schemes (distance between trains > 100 rf buckets)
- HOM frequency ranges for new cavity designs which are "safe" for given bunch spacings were identified

### Thank you for your attention!

### **Contribution of tapers**



Frequency range of transition from  $Z_{step}/2$  to  $Z_{step}$  is defined by taper geometry

#### **Optimal taper length\***



If distance between tapers >>  $d^2/c\sigma$ , contributions of taper-in and taperout are compensated for  $L > L_{opt} = (d - b)^2/c\sigma$ 

\*S. A. Heifets and S. A. Kheifets, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63, 631 (1991)