



Conduction Cooling Studies for Nb3Sn SRF Cavities

Developing the use of commercial cryocoolers for compact accelerators

N. Stilin, A. Holic, J. Sears, R. Porter, M. Liepe Cornell University November 13, 2020







- Motivation for conduction cooling
- Assembly design at Cornell
- Primary RF test results
- Analysis & Diagnostics
- Next steps for the future



• Motivation for conduction cooling

- Assembly design at Cornell
- Primary RF test results
- Analysis & Diagnostics
- Next steps for the future



Motivation

- U.S. DOE report "Accelerators for America's Future" outlines various applications of compact accelerators
 - Spans across industry, medical fields, national security, etc.
 - Examples include wastewater treatment, medical procedures & sterilization, cargo inspection, ...
- Small-scale operations of SRF cavities face major barrier in complex cryogenics infrastructure
- Cryocoolers provide a cheaper and simpler ("turn-key") alternative
- Nb₃Sn cavities offer more efficient operation at 4 K primary operation temperature

Successful operation of Nb₃Sn SRF cavities using cryocoolers can help make SRF technology more accessible for such applications.





- Motivation for conduction cooling
- Assembly design at Cornell
- Primary RF test results
- Analysis & Diagnostics
- Next steps for the future



Assembly Design

- Cryocooler: Cryomech model P420 with remote motor
 - 2nd stage: 1.8 W @ 4.2 K
 - 1st stage: 55 W @ 45 K
 - Motor & reservoirs removed from primary assembly



Primary compressor unit

- "Turn-key" operation
- Straight-forward system monitoring





- Cavity: Nb₃Sn-coated 2.6 GHz
- Thermal Connection: Copper braided straps
- Cernox sensors on 2nd stage, beam clamps, equator





- Cavity: Nb₃Sn-coated 2.6 GHz
- Thermal Connection: Copper braided straps
- Cernox sensors on 2nd stage, beam clamps, equator





- Cavity: Nb₃Sn-coated 2.6 GHz
- Thermal Connection: Copper braided straps
- Cernox sensors on 2nd stage, beam clamps, equator







- Motivation for conduction cooling
- Assembly design at Cornell
- Primary RF test results
- Analysis & Diagnostics
- Next steps for the future



Initial Cooldown

- Initial cooldown from room temperature
- Cavity assembly cooled in approx. 4 hrs
 - Note: clamp and equator curves are overlapping
- 1st stage and coupler require more time





- Cavity warmed up to 30 K, then cooled back down
- Not showing 1st stage or coupler for clarity
- Other temperature cycles completed from 20 K and 40 K – similar process
- Remaining analysis focuses on 30 K re-cool





RF Test Results: Q₀

- 4.2 K VT (LHe Bath) baseline test
 - Quench near 18 MV/m
 - Low-field $Q_0 \sim 8E9$
- Initial Cooldown test
 - E_{acc} stable up to **8 MV/m**
 - Low-field $Q_0 \sim 2E9$
- 30 K temperature cycle test
 - E_{acc} stable past **10 MV/m**
 - Low-field Q₀ ~ 6E9
 - Q_0 within factor of ~2 of 4.2 K VT
 - Pdiss = 0.78 W at 10 MV/m
 - Comfortably under 1.8 W
 - Continuous operation
 - dT/dt < 1 mK/s





- 30 K Temperature Cycle
- Minimal heating under 4 MV/m
- Cavity just under 4 K at 10 MV/m
- Max cavity temp ~ 4.2 K

→ Stable operation at 10 MV/m at 4.2 K







- BCT slightly warmer than BCC
- Two gradient stages are approx. equal
 - ~0.1 K difference at 10 MV/m
- Good baseline to use as comparison







- Motivation for conduction cooling
- Assembly design at Cornell
- Primary RF test results
- Analysis & Diagnostics
- Next steps for the future



- Why does temperature cycling offer better performance?
- Look at thermal gradients at Tc
- Thermal gradients \rightarrow Thermal currents \rightarrow Trapped flux \rightarrow Higher R₀





- Linear fit slope: 15.5 nΩ/(K/m)
- Compare to results from R. Porter*
 - Low-field sensitivity: 0.75 n Ω /mG
 - Conversion factor: 6.2 mG/(K/m)
 - Assume same value for 1.3 & 2.6 GHz
 - → 4.65 nΩ/(K/m)
- Within factor of 3-4
- Ignores "vertical" gradient
 - Not a factor in VT due to symmetry



Conclusion: Reducing thermal gradients is crucial for high performance!

* Presented at TTC'19



Improvement: Thermal Gradients

- Added 1 kOhm resistors to both beam clamps
 - Fine-tuned control during cooldown
- Successful implementation in recent test!
 - Beam clamps held within 1-2 mK through Tc
 - Best cooldown previously had ~ 120 mK





- Clamp-to-clamp gradient fixed
- "Vertical" gradient still an issue



Comparison to Nb Conductivity

- Calculated using P_{diss} and gradient between equator and beam clamps (averaged)
- Main contribution to gradient comes from niobium
 - Contribution from copper clamps is negligible





Conclusion:

Good thermal contact to beam clamps



Clamp Heater Test

- RF off, system allowed to cool completely
- Calculate W/K using ΔGradient (heater on & off)
 - Gradient is between 2nd stage and clamps
- Setting A: 0.025 W on BCC only
- Setting B: 0.025 W on BCT only







- Setting A: 0.025 W on BCC only
 - ∆Gradient = 0.044 K → 0.57 W/K
- Setting B: 0.025 W on BCT only
 - ∆Gradient = 0.047 K → 0.53 W/K
- Compare to 30 K temp cycle test at 10 MV/m
 - $P_{diss} / 2 = 0.39 W$
 - Δ Gradient to BCC = 0.354 K \rightarrow 1.1 W/K
 - − Δ Gradient to BCT = **0.468 K** → **0.83 W/K**
- Beam clamp temperature: roughly 2.7 K vs 3.4 K
 - Can expect ~ 30% change due to Cu thermal cond.



Conclusion: Copper straps provide a reliable thermal pathway



- Motivation for conduction cooling
- Assembly design at Cornell
- Primary RF test results
- Analysis & Diagnostics
- Next steps for the future



Next Steps: Near-term

- Reduce ambient magnetic fields
 - Recent tests measured up to 60 mG near the cavity
 - Potential re-magnetization due to thermal cycling?
- Microphonics analysis & suppression
 - Large signal matches cryocooler pulse frequency
 - Strength of microphonics effects varies significantly
 - Reason for variation is currently unclear
 - Next tests will include vibrational sensors on top plate







- Three-year Stewardship Proposal Accepted
- Main Objective: Develop new cryomodule with integrated cryocooler for 4K operation of Nb3Sn cavities
 - 1 MeV energy gain w/ currents up to 100 mA \rightarrow input power up to 100 kW
 - Requires low static & dynamic heat loads
 - Requires reliable microphonics control





	Static	At 50 kW (CW, TW)
To 1.8 K	0.05 W	0.2 W
To 4.2 K	0.30 W	2.0 W
To 70 K	6.80 W	31 W

Figure 4: Left: 3-D CAD model of the high-power Cornell ERL injector RF input coupler. Right: Static and dynamic heat loads of the coupler. [18, 19]



Summary

- Demonstrated successful continuous operation at 10 MV/m
 - Max dissipated power well within 1.8 W
- Key finding: small gradients essential for performance
 - Demonstrated successful clamp-to-clamp gradient control during cooldown
- Ambient field reduction and microphonics control offer potential for further improvement in performance
- Next long-term project: implementation in full-scale cryomodule

Thanks to M. Liepe, A. Holic, J. Sears & R. Porter

Funding support from DOE & NSF

