Input coupler development for KEK ERL main linac

<u>Hiroshi Sakai</u>, Takaaki Furuya, Masato Sato, Kenji Shinoe, Kensei Umemori, Kazuhiro Enami(KEK), Masaru Sawamura (JAEA), Enrico Cenni (Sokendai)





TTC CW SRF workshop @Cornell Univ. (2013.June.12-June.14)

Coupler requirements & properties for CW-ERL main linac ERL design based on compact ERL (cERL)



Main linac case (compared with injector)

- --Low RF power thanks to Energy Recover weak coupling (Qext = 1*10^7 – 1*10^8)
- which depend on microphonics effect basically 5-20kW will be needed.
- --Reliabilities are another important points due to fabricate large number of couplers.

$$P_g = \frac{V_c^2}{4(R/Q)Q_L} \left(1 + 4Q_L^2 \left(\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)^2\right)$$





Basic parameters & design of input coupler for main linac at KEK

- Basic parameters
 - frequency : CW, 1.3GHz
 - Accelerating gradient : Max 20MV/m (First) input power : max 20kW , standing wave (Δf =50Hz) loaded Q(Q₁) : (1-4) * 10⁷ (variable coupling)
- Points (modified from STF-BL coupler for CW) Forced N2 gas cooling of inner conductor Impedance from 50Ω to 60Ω
 99.7% purity of ceramic window are used. make variable and add cold bellows



(Toshiba TETD)



Input coupler heat load (per cavity) (calculation)

10kW+10kW(=20kW) standing wave Dynamic loss

Dynamic loss	2K	5K	80K	300K
Inner conductor	-	-	14.7W	6.8W (forced gas cool)
Outer conductor	-	0.79W	1.3W	2.1W
Total	-	0.79W	16.0W	8.9W

20kW+20kW(=40kW) standing wave Dynamic loss

Dynamic loss	2K	5K	80K	300K	
Inner conductor	-	-	29.4W	13.7W(forced gas cool)	← Ce wir
Outer conductor	-	1.55W	2.5W	4.1W	<1\
Total	-	1.55W	31.9W	17.8W	

Ceramic window heat <1W

(Static	1				
loss)	calc	5K→2K	80K→5K	300K→80K	Come from N2
	Static	< 0.3W	1.6W	(inner conductor) 5.5W	gas cooling
	loss Qc	(depend on		(outer conductor)10W	
		sealing)		Total = 15.5W	4



Fiber Arc sensor and its logic

Typical fiber arc sensor signal from light (pulse width less than 1us)



each window and detect light the finite threshold, make TTL pulse with same ما 4 ام زيرين

>9us \rightarrow TTL out (against cosmic ray event)

Latch TTL pulse and RF off (< 10us) 6



Power test results with pulse processing (10us \rightarrow 200us)

Start pulse processing with 10us width. On 27kW, vacuum was increased but processing level was gradually increased until 80kW with 6kW/h and many arc signal ITL. From 80kW to 100kW, sudden vacuum increase of cold window led the processing speed decreased to 2.5kW/h; processing level was slowly increased with many vacuum ITL (>1*10^-4Pa). Finally reached the 100kW with 10us width.

Next we lengthen pulse width $30us \rightarrow 100us$ $\rightarrow 200us$ Continuing the processing and lengthen the pulse width suddenly vacuum level was better and finally we reached 105kW with 200us of 20Hz and no ITL was detected with arc and vacuum. \rightarrow OK.TW 100kW pulse processing \rightarrow continue lengthening



Arc signal was delayed if processing down with short pulse

If processing was done, we detected no arc signal

Processing time of coupler test stand

- Pulse processing
 - 10us 5Hz--20Hz (->100kW) (21h 40min)
 - 30us 5Hz (->100kW) (2h 10min)
 - 100us 5Hz (15Hz) <u>(->102kW</u>) (1h 50min)
 - 200us 10Hz (20Hz) (->105kW) (1h 20min)
 - 500us 20Hz (->102kW) (1h 7min)
 - 2ms 5Hz (100kW) (1h 11min)
 - 10ms 5Hz (95kW) (1h 7min)
 - 50ms 2Hz (92kW) (1h 4min)
 - 200ms 1Hz (88kW) (1h 5min)
 - 1s 0.5Hz (85kW) (1h 25min)
- <u>CW (43kW) (4hours)</u>

Total pulse processing time (from 10us to 1s 0.5Hz) =<u>34hours</u>

Power increase with processing and finally get no vacuum increase and arc event

Search the stable field level of no ITL event for **1hour** by lengthen the pulse width . 85kW of **1s pulse width of 0.5Hz** (duty 50%) achieved.

Final results of coupler power test



•RF power up to 105kW (pulse) (200us 20Hz)

and done < 80kW TW processing with long pulse (1s 5Hz) 43kW(CW) -> same as 20kW SW heat load

•Keep 43kW CW, 4hours \rightarrow 50kW increased the vacuum after 20min due to the lack of outer cooling fan ability.

 Highest Temp: bellows of inner conductor (∆T~60degree, OK) with N2 gas cooling of 120l/min

Module assembly of input coupler for cERL main linac



Connection of input coupler cold window in clean room (class 4)





Doorknob , inner rod, arc sensor & RF cable wereequipped



Connection of input coupler warm window in clean boose

QL optimization & measurement





- Input coupler has variable mechanism for Qext tuning.
- Measured Qext followed design values.
 - $1.5 \sim 5.3 \times 10^7$ for upper (#4 cavity)
 - 8.7 x $10^6 \sim 3.3 \times 10^7$ for lower (#3 cavity)
 - design: 1 ~ 4 x 10⁷

±5mm variable

Coupler aging of each cavities of cyromodule & power test



Temperature rises of input coupler under high power test in cryomodule

Summary

- We fabricated two input couplers for cERL main linac cryomodule, especially to meet CW high power feeding of 20kW standing wave.
- High power test at coupler test stand for cERL
 - 1.3GHz 300kW klystron used for more than 80kW traveling wave (=20kW SW)
 - we reached 105kW(20Hz,200us) under pulse processing.
 - Total pulse processing time is 34 hours.
 - 43kW CW power with traveling wave also feed and can keep for 4hours
 - Fiber arc sensor works effectively for ITL within 10us and see processing.
- cERL cryomodule test
 - QL of both couplers also met the design values of $(1-4)*10^7$.
 - processing were also applied up to 25kW with 0.2s pulse width within a half day.
 - Thanks to the processing of room temperature again, aging time is much small for cryomodule test of 2K condition (< less then 10min) up to 15kW SW on detune condition.
 - Finally we can keep 14MV with 4.5kW power feeding in high power test of QL=1.5*10^7
 - Michrophonics of $\Delta f=7Hz$ of pk-pk. This is much smaller than expected.
 - No significant temperature rise was observed under 15kW power feeding.

These input couplers worked well in cERL main linac cryomodule

(Open) Issues for coupler

- How to suppress the heat leak to 2K or lower temperature?
 - Optimum Copper plating (thickness (-10um)) can reduce heat load. It'll be a technical issue to make thin plating.
 - Bellows (with cu plating) can separate the thermal heat load source. But temperature increase if dynamic loss is high.
 - Inner conductor cooling is necessary even if power decreased below 5kW CW power.
- How much will be expected on microphinics?
 - I think 50Hz overestimated for safety. Now Δf=7Hz of pk-pk for our cryomodule. Maybe 20Hz-30Hz is desiable ? But this depend on the cryomodule design.
- Is Cold window needed to prevent the sudden ceramic broken for main linac and to absorb the heat load at 80K ? → I think yes.
- Not only power ITL but also fast sensor (like arc sensor and/or electron sensor) (< a few us) is needed on coupler to beam, when the recovery condition break by sudden trip of coupler.
- Optimum & fast coupler conditioning

backup

KEK-ERL main linac coupler high power test by prototype coupler (v1) with LN2 cooling

Results of keeping 20kW

Sudden power down is mainly caused by noise of arc

Thermal cycle tests of cold window of prototype coupler (v1)

Modify brazing condition to prototype cold window

We applied the thermal cycle test by using LN2 cooling high power test stand as shown above. After 10 thermal cycle, no leak and crack was observed.

10 thermal cycle test is OK. → decide to fabricate two input coupler for cERL cryomodule

H.Sakai or M.Sato et al, Proc. of 15-th SRF, Chicago (2011)

Prototype of input coupler (v1) & assembly in clean room for high power test

Prototype of input coupler

KEK-ERL main linac coupler high power test with liquid Nitrogen

• High power test of prototype of input coupler under liquid Nitrogen cooling with vacuum insulator to know the real temperature rises under vacuum insulation as same as the cryomodule by feeding the high power.

To simulate the same standing wave condition of cryomodule, Bellows and ceramic windows were set not to stand the peak field in high power test.

Coupling measurements

Connecting the input coupler to 9cell ERL cavity, we measure the coupling directly.
Slope of Qext change with coupler length agree well with calculation with +-5mm.
However, the measured value of Qext with doorknob exchanger is 1.3 times higher than calculation

Change the length of 2mm short for cryomodule from measurement results.

Qext coupler	5.0*10^6	2.0*10^7
Meas Odeg (doorknob)	52.83mm	62.38mm
Calc (MW-Stdio)	51.20mm	60.64mm
Calc (HFSS)	51.09mm	60.88mm

Cautions and learn from previous ceramic window test for ERL about disk ceramic with choke

Calc by HFSS TE mode stands inside

Broken

profile

of window, peak was shifted.

Please calculate not only S-parameter but also eigenmode of disk ceramic itself.

H.Sakai et al., Proc. of 14-th SRF Workshop, Berlin, p684-688, (2009) K.Umemori et al., Proc. of IPAC10, Kyoto, p2959-2961, (2010)

Caution for using to cold window

Coupler choices for ERL

Waveguide

- o Lower surface electric field
- o higher thermal radiation
- o No easy tuning
 - **CESR** waveguide
 - >250kW
 - 500 MHz
 - WG Bend shields cold window from beam.

This coaxial disk ceramic window is reliably operated at KEKB applying <u>up to CW 400kW</u> with 1A beam current and STF with high peak power more than 1MW. <u>at 1.3GHz</u>. This is our choice.

Coaxial

- o Smaller heat leak
- o Easier to make variable

Coupler kick & cancelation

Et[V/m]

By T. Muto

in(Theta)[T]

Coupler kick will canceled with setting symmetry with optimum length

Typical data of fiber arc and e-pick after interlock (2012/4/26)

Pin f = 23kW

F-arc ⑤、⑥が反応、そのさいに⑤と関係するe-pick 4も信号が大きくなる。 もちろん、これに応じて真空も前々ページのように増大。(warm,Cold両方とも)

Fiberでarc interlockがかかった時は<u>1us</u>以下でpowerは落ちる。 ちなみにMPS moduleのこの時の設定は0.24usのdelay

Cautions about disk ceramic with choke (about TRISTAN type coupler)

When modify the impedance or diameter from original

Broken

profile

By changing the thickness of window, peak was shifted.

Please calculate not only S-parameter but also eigenmode of disk ceramic itself.

By using for cold window

modify the blazing of conditions \rightarrow 10 thermal cycle is OK now.

Pk-pk = 7Hz by oscilloscope. It allow us to increase the QL higher than several *10^7 → lower power
Main peak was observed at 49.5Hz (not 50Hz of electrical noise) by FFT analyzer ,which was not come from cavity resonance frequency.
It might come from backbone and/or 5K flame resonance frequency??

trace13_23:19_Eacc=2.5MV/m_PLL/OFF

